3

5

6

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

JIM IRVIN Commissioner MARC SPITZER

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Chairman

Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC PROCEEDINGS CONCERNING ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING ISSUES

IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS OF A.A.C. R14-2-1606

IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC PROCEEDING CONCERNING THE ARIZONA INDEPENDENT SCHEDULING ADMINISTRATOR

IN THE MATTER OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE OF CERTAIN ELECTRIC COMPETITION RULES COMPLIANCE DATES

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS STRANDED COST RECOVERY

Docket No. E-00000A-02-0051

Docket No. E-01345A-01-0822

Docket No. E-00000A-01-0630

Docket No. E-01933A-02-0069

Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471

ARIZONA CLEAN ENERGY INDUSTRIES GRAND CANYON TRUST ARIZONA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES RENEWABLE ENERGY LEADERSHIP GROUP RESPONSE TO STAFF'S LIST OF ISSUES

Arizona Clean Energy Industries Alliance, The Grand Canyon Trust, Arizona Solar Energy Industries Assoc, and the Renewable Energy Leadership Group responds to the staff's list of issues referred to in its May 13, 2002 Request for Procedural Order.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th day of June 2002:

24 25

Robert Annan 6605 East Evening Glow Scottsdale, Arizona 85262 480-488-7858

Original and 18 copies of the foregoing Filed this  $27^{\rm th}$  day of June, 2002.

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007 

Copy of the foregoing mailed/emailed this  $27^{\rm th}$ day of June, 2002 to all parties of record

## Comments on Staff's List of Track B Issues

In a letter dated May 31, 2002, the Director Utilities Division provided a list of Track B issues involving a competitive solicitation process for meeting a utility's power requirements and asked for comments by June 28, 2002. The Arizona Clean Energy Industries Alliance, the Grand Canyon Trust, the Arizona Solar Energy Industries Assoc. and the Renewable Energy Leadership Group request that an issue be added under Item 2: "What types of products will be subject to competitive solicitation", and addressed at subsequent workshops. The issue is:

Should environmental portfolio standard requirements be included in a portfolio based, competitive solicitation? We believe that such requirements should be included for the following reasons.

- It is a workable environmental strategy. It is widely accepted that competitive wholesale energy marketplace have environmental consequences. Yet, none of the parties responding on Track A and B issues discussed these consequences nor offered a response strategy.
- The timing is good, the 1.1 percent portfolio requirement is reasonable and it can be easily implemented. A time-based portfolio reaching out to ten years presents an ideal opportunity to bring the 1.1 percent requirement into the energy mainstream, starting as part of a blended offering.
- Renewable energy based generation can benefit Arizona's electric system.

  Clean energy systems, particularly as distributed generation inside non-attainment areas, increase the robustness of the overall system whose competitive supply margin is thin in the short term, transmission constrained, and subject to potential fuel delivery constraints.
- The current Corporation Commission mandate together with ratepayer support provides an excellent foundation. The Environmental Portfolio Standard (EPS) unanimously adopted in early 2001 is supported by a consumer surcharge of 35 cents a month totaling \$12 million per year.
- Renewable energy systems installed to date have experienced few technical problems and costs continue to decline. Despite its slow start and its arms length implementation by utilities, the EPS is already showing positive results. Generation from landfill gas facilities is established and there are plans for increasing its capacity. APS experience is showing a 23 percent decline in costs of solar compared to the costs of systems installed before the EPS. Jobs are being created in Arizona, particularly for businesses that install small photovoltaic systems. Because of interest in wind driven by the EPS, a detailed wind map showing potential wind energy sites is due this fall. A geothermal interest group is being formed. With the adoption of an EPS, energy experts, as well as the federal government acknowledge Arizona leadership in renewable energy.

- Arizona consumers, the Corporation Commission and the U. S. EPA want a renewable energy solution. Arizona electricity customers overwhelmingly believe that utilities could be doing more to reduce environmental impacts on Arizona's air and water, and to increase the use of renewable energy. EPA's regional haze regulation includes a call for more renewable energy based generation. The regional haze regulations were based on recommendations from the Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission (GCVTC) that 10 percent of the region's electricity come from renewable energy sources by 2005, rising to 20 percent by 2015. Representatives of all three major Arizona utilities officially supported the GCVTC recommendations. Chairman Mundell and Commissioner Spitzer in their detailed questions regarding Arizona's competitive electric competition rules point to the need to reduce the environmental impacts of a fossil fuel based energy strategy.
- Renewable energy based systems add to Arizona's economic development. The large dollar outflow for fuels purchased out-of-state is reduced by use of solar and other in-state renewable resources. The Renewable Energy Policy Project is a national non-governmental organization that supports the advancement of renewable energy technology through policy research. In a recent study the Project concludes that renewable energy offer a diverse array of jobs and the technologies also offer more jobs per dollar than coal power.

The Arizona Clean Energy Industries Assoc, the Grand Canyon Trust, the Arizona Solar Energy Industries Assoc, and the Renewable Energy Leadership Group look forward to discussing this issue and strategies for its implementation as the Track B process moves forward.